

Scientific Data Preservation

Karl Benedict EDAC, University Libraries, Dept. of Geography University of New Mexico

Why Me?

- Earth Data Analysis Center
- University Libraries
- Geography Department
- Federation of Earth Science Information Partners
- Foundation for Earth Science

A Story

- Dissertation work with two large data collections from the late-60s through early 80s
- Over 2200 archaeological sites, paleoclimate and modern meteorological data, publicly available environmental data
- Focus on data integration and modeling - not feasible without well documented data in understandable and usable formats



Roadmap

- Core principles
- Strategies
- Resources

Core Principles

- Data quality/safety
 - During research
 - Following research
- Documentation
 - Discovery
 - Use
 - Understanding
- Sustainability
 - Data and metadata formats/standards



Data Quality and Safety

I learn with great satisfaction that you are about committing to the press the valuable historical and State papers you have been so long collecting. Time and accident are committing daily havoc on the originals deposited in our public offices. The late war has done the work of centuries in this business. The last cannot be recovered, but let us save what remains; not by vaults and locks which fence them from the public eye and use in consigning them to the waste of time, but by such a multiplication of copies, as shall place them beyond the reach of accident.



Letter to Ebenezer Hazard Philadelphia, 2/18/1791. Thomas Jefferson

Documentation



Data creators will provide sufficient metadata (defined as all the information necessary for data to be independently understood by users and to ensure proper stewardship of the data) to the data repositories responsible for long-term archival.

- Interagency Data Stewardship Guidelines. <u>http://</u> <u>commons.esipfed.org/node/419</u>



- Library of Congress - http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/formats/intro/format_eval_rel.shtml

Seven sustainability factors



- Library of Congress - http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/formats/intro/format_eval_rel.shtml

Seven sustainability factors

1. <u>Disclosure</u>. Degree to which complete specifications and tools for validating technical integrity exist and are accessible to those creating and sustaining digital content. A spectrum of disclosure levels can be observed for digital formats. What is most significant is not approval by a recognized standards body, but the existence of complete documentation.



Seven sustainability factors

1. <u>Disclosure</u>. Degree to which complete specifications and tools for validating technical integrity exist and are accessible to those creating and sustaining digital content. A spectrum of disclosure levels can be observed for digital formats. What is most significant is not approval by a recognized standards body, but the existence of complete documentation.

2. <u>Adoption</u>. Degree to which the format is already used by the primary creators, disseminators, or users of information resources. This includes use as a master format, for delivery to end users, and as a means of interchange between systems.



Seven sustainability factors

1. <u>Disclosure</u>. Degree to which complete specifications and tools for validating technical integrity exist and are accessible to those creating and sustaining digital content. A spectrum of disclosure levels can be observed for digital formats. What is most significant is not approval by a recognized standards body, but the existence of complete documentation.

2. <u>Adoption</u>. Degree to which the format is already used by the primary creators, disseminators, or users of information resources. This includes use as a master format, for delivery to end users, and as a means of interchange between systems.

3. <u>Transparency</u>. Degree to which the digital representation is open to direct analysis with basic tools, such as human readability using a text-only editor.



Seven sustainability factors

1. <u>Disclosure</u>. Degree to which complete specifications and tools for validating technical integrity exist and are accessible to those creating and sustaining digital content. A spectrum of disclosure levels can be observed for digital formats. What is most significant is not approval by a recognized standards body, but the existence of complete documentation.

2. <u>Adoption</u>. Degree to which the format is already used by the primary creators, disseminators, or users of information resources. This includes use as a master format, for delivery to end users, and as a means of interchange between systems.

3. <u>Transparency</u>. Degree to which the digital representation is open to direct analysis with basic tools, such as human readability using a text-only editor.

4. <u>Self-documentation</u>. Self-documenting digital objects contain basic descriptive, technical, and other administrative metadata.

Seven sustainability factors

1. <u>Disclosure</u>. Degree to which complete specifications and tools for validating technical integrity exist and are accessible to those creating and sustaining digital content. A spectrum of disclosure levels can be observed for digital formats. What is most significant is not approval by a recognized standards body, but the existence of complete documentation.

2. <u>Adoption</u>. Degree to which the format is already used by the primary creators, disseminators, or users of information resources. This includes use as a master format, for delivery to end users, and as a means of interchange between systems.

3. <u>Transparency</u>. Degree to which the digital representation is open to direct analysis with basic tools, such as human readability using a text-only editor.

4. <u>Self-documentation</u>. Self-documenting digital objects contain basic descriptive, technical, and other administrative metadata.

5. <u>External Dependencies</u>. Degree to which a particular format depends on particular hardware, operating system, or software for rendering or use and the predicted complexity of dealing with those dependencies in future technical environments.

Seven sustainability factors

1. <u>Disclosure</u>. Degree to which complete specifications and tools for validating technical integrity exist and are accessible to those creating and sustaining digital content. A spectrum of disclosure levels can be observed for digital formats. What is most significant is not approval by a recognized standards body, but the existence of complete documentation.

2. <u>Adoption</u>. Degree to which the format is already used by the primary creators, disseminators, or users of information resources. This includes use as a master format, for delivery to end users, and as a means of interchange between systems.

3. <u>Transparency</u>. Degree to which the digital representation is open to direct analysis with basic tools, such as human readability using a text-only editor.

4. <u>Self-documentation</u>. Self-documenting digital objects contain basic descriptive, technical, and other administrative metadata.

5. <u>External Dependencies</u>. Degree to which a particular format depends on particular hardware, operating system, or software for rendering or use and the predicted complexity of dealing with those dependencies in future technical environments.

6. <u>Impact of Patents</u>. Degree to which the ability of archival institutions to sustain content in a format will be inhibited by patents.

Seven sustainability factors

1. <u>Disclosure</u>. Degree to which complete specifications and tools for validating technical integrity exist and are accessible to those creating and sustaining digital content. A spectrum of disclosure levels can be observed for digital formats. What is most significant is not approval by a recognized standards body, but the existence of complete documentation.

2. <u>Adoption</u>. Degree to which the format is already used by the primary creators, disseminators, or users of information resources. This includes use as a master format, for delivery to end users, and as a means of interchange between systems.

3. <u>Transparency</u>. Degree to which the digital representation is open to direct analysis with basic tools, such as human readability using a text-only editor.

4. <u>Self-documentation</u>. Self-documenting digital objects contain basic descriptive, technical, and other administrative metadata.

5. <u>External Dependencies</u>. Degree to which a particular format depends on particular hardware, operating system, or software for rendering or use and the predicted complexity of dealing with those dependencies in future technical environments.

6. <u>Impact of Patents</u>. Degree to which the ability of archival institutions to sustain content in a format will be inhibited by patents.

7. <u>Technical Protection Mechanisms</u>. Implementation of mechanisms such as encryption that prevent the preservation of content by a trusted repository.

Dataset Considerations

Significant for all datasets is that they be represented in a structure that reveals the characteristics of individual data items and the relationships among them. A dataset format suitable for preservation must retain the syntactical integrity of both the structure and individual values, so that automated analysis is possible. Also essential for future usability is an understanding of the semantics of the data elements and their relationships within the dataset. The semantics may be described explicitly within the dataset, described explicitly in an ancillary document (preferably itself machine-processable), or implicit through compliance with a community best practice or external specification.

http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/formats/content/dataset_quality.shtml

Dataset Considerations

Significant for all datasets is that they be represented in a structure that reveals the characteristics of individual data items and the relationships among them. A dataset format suitable for preservation must retain the syntactical integrity of both the structure and individual values, so that automated analysis is possible. Also essential for future usability is an understanding of the semantics of the data elements and their relationships within the dataset. The semantics may be described explicitly within the dataset, described explicitly in an ancillary document (preferably itself machine-processable), or implicit through compliance with a community best practice or external specification.

http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/formats/content/dataset_quality.shtml

Dataset Considerations

Significant for all datasets is that they be represented in a structure that reveals the characteristics of individual data items and the relationships among them. A dataset format suitable for preservation must retain the syntactical integrity of both the structure and individual values, so that automated analysis is possible. Also essential for future usability is an understanding of the semantics of the data elements and their relationships within the dataset. The semantics may be described explicitly within the dataset, described explicitly in an ancillary document (preferably itself machine-processable), or implicit through compliance with a community best practice or external specification.

represented in a structure

relationships

syntactical integrity

structure

individual values

understanding of the semantics

http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/formats/content/dataset_quality.shtml

Strategies

Preservation Resources



- Use existing individual resources
- Leverage existing shared resources
- Acquire new individual or shared resources
- Use commodity/hosted (e.g. cloud) resources

Use Existing Resources

- Pros
 - Little if any initial cost
 - Resources already well understood
- Cons
 - Potential lack of alignment with preservation needs
 - May be insufficient for long-term



Shared Resources



- Pros
 - May be able to better use underutilized resource
 - Resource is a known commodity
- Cons
 - Resource decisions are shared
 - Potential contention for resource as it is consumed

Acquire New Resources

• Pros

- Resources can be specified for need
- Growth may be built into resource planning
- Cons
 - Requires expenditure of funds
 - Adequate funds may not be available for acquisition
 - New resource may have related costs (i.e. labor, administration)



Hosted Resources

• Pros

- Cost can scale with demand/need
- Local administrative and infrastructure costs can be reduced
- Cons
 - Reduced control over data
 - Risk of provider going out of business
 - May not exactly match needs



Documentation

- Start Early
- Elements to Record
 - Who
 - Where
 - When
 - What
 - Why
 - How
- Know target documentation content standard at start to ensure coverage



Format Selection



Resources

• UNM LoboVault / UNM Libraries data curators

- UNM Research Storage
 Consortium
- NM Resource Geographic Information System / NM EPSCoR
- DataONE Member Node(s), OneShare
- Community Repositories
- Further Reading



UNM LoboVault & Data Curators

UNM UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES								Ask a Librarian 505-277-9100		
University L Digital I A guide p	Ubrarles > Research Guides > Data Management, Co rimarily geared toward rese ed: May 9, 2012 URL: http://l	Digital Data Management, Curation uration and Archiving barchers and data librarians. bguides.unm.edu/data A Print (and Archiving Guide 🔄 RSS Updates	C SHARE	* 8_			lmin S	Sign In	
Home	Policies and Guidelines	Data Management Plans v	Data Management ~	Metadata ~	Data Archiving	Library	Services			
Home	A Print Page			Search:		10	This Guide	84	earch	
-										

Welcome

Subject Guide

Welcome to the Data Management, Curation and Archiving Research Guide. This guide is intended primarily for researchers and data librarians to facilitate the management, sharing and archiving of their data. This guide covers a rapidly changing large and complex topic.

We will regularly update, correct and add information and tools to the guide. Please let us know if you do not find what you need, feel that some information or concepts are incorrect, or want to add information from your field of research. Also, you may want to check back periodically for updates.

As research data librarians, we are here to help you:

- · Create data management plans for grant proposals
- · Manage, curate and archive your data.
- · Maximize the usefulness of your data.
- Increase the lifespan of your data.
- · Manage sharing of your data.
- · Prepare your data for archiving.
- · Collaborate with you in creating innovative new ways to share your data.

This Research Guide should give you the necessary background and tools to better manage your data. We also hope it will help you in understanding what supporting information (metadata) will help increase the usability, understandability and longevity of your data.

Please do not hesitate to contact the research data librarian specializing in your field of research for more information or assistance.

Comments (0)





Robert Olendorf

🖬 🥺 📘

Contact Info 163 Centennial Science & Engineering Library MSC05 3020 University Libraries 1 University of New Mexico Abuquerque NM 67131 505.277.4760 Send Email

Links:

Profile & Guides

Subjects:

Computer Science, Math, Statistics, Research Data Librarian for the Natural Sciences, Engineering, Computer Sciences and Math



UNM Research Storage Consortium



NM RGIS & EPSCoR Data Portals



http://nmepscor.org/dataportal

DataONE

DataS		th For Mercury ‡	Go	ね F 国 多
Depositing Data in	nto DataONE	Member Node	A DataONE Search	Tool for Scientific Data Tool for Scientific D
Latest News 3log inter	view on Open Science - http://bi	t.ly/MDh63t Posted: 08/9/2012	First Public Participation	In Scientific Research Conference underw
About	Participate	Resources	Education	Data
What is DataONE? DataONE Organization Working Groups Partners Communication Videos Contact Us	DataONE Users Group Member Nodes Internships Developer Resources Open Positions Events Calendar	Investigator Toolkit Data Management Planning Best Practices Software Tools Catalog Publications	Training Activities Education Modules Graduate Courses	Find Contribute Cite Use Data Holdings Safety Data Challenge
DataONE is a collaboration among many 1312 Basehart Dr SE 1 University of Net	y partner organizations, and is funded by w Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87106 Contz	y the US National Science Foundation (NSF) act Us Site Map	under a Cooperative Agreement.	

http://www.dataone.org/

Community Repositories















The Long Term Ecological Research Network

Check out Databib for a list of over 300 repositories: <u>http://databib.org/index.php</u>

Additional Background Material

- ESIP Federation Interagency Data Stewardship Guidelines http://commons.esipfed.org/node/419
- ESIP Federation Data Citation Guidelines for Data Providers and Archives http://commons.esipfed.org/node/308
- Library of Congress Sustainability of Digital Formats Planning for Library of Congress Collections http://www.digitalpreservation.gov/formats/index.shtml
- UNM Libraries Digital Data Management, Curation and Archiving Research Guide http://libguides.unm.edu/data
- DataONE Best Practices database

http://www.dataone.org/best-practices

